

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,236	02/13/2002	Joseph A. Tabe		4447
7590 08/09/2004			EXAMINER	
JOSEPH TABE			GOINS, DAVETTA WOODS	
SUITE 315 525 THAYER AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SILVER SPRING, MD 20910			2632	0
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	10/073,236	TABE, JOSEPH A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Davetta W. Goins	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the properiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC.  by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NOTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>29 <i>December 2003</i></u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	ixaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	) accepted or b) dojected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do copies of the priority do some some some some some some some som	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in a the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	-948) Paper No D/SB/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

(The Marked Copy)

In claim 1, line 9, the claimed "communication means" should read as "communication signal"

In line 23, after the claimed "e." and before the claimed "internal", the word "an" should be inserted.

In line 35, after the claimed "i." And before the claimed "database", an "a" should be inserted.

In line 46, after the claimed "l" a "." (period) should be inserted.

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: In lines 16, 21, add, "said auditory" before the words "communication signal".
 Appropriate correction is required.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Upon the corrections made to claim 1, with respect to the minor informalities stated above, claims 1-9 would be Allowable. Kawasaki (691) discloses a voice warning device that will alert the driver of various conditions within the vehicle via voice warning producing means 4. Durley et al. (269) discloses a vehicle safety sensor for a school bus, in which a voice warning will be output from speakers located around the bus such that

Art Unit: 2632

the sound will be broadcast to nearby persons located on the outside of the bus (col. 39, lines 16-67; col. 40, lines 1-58). However, neither Kawasaki nor Durley et al. disclose in their entirety the claimed switch terminal means responsible for energizing a communication signal; a logic switch means for identifying the energized communication signal indicative of coded data transmission responsible for enabling at least a defined voice auditory in response to at least a predetermined mechanical operation of the vehicle, at least a central processing unit for relaying and for retrieving the energized signal indication of communication with plurality switches responsive for initiating human voice auditory response, means for transforming the energized communication signal into pre-selective human voice auditory signal, an internal logical interface means, at least a voltage suppressor means in communication with the internal logical interface means, a braking means in communication with at least a braking chip, wherein the braking chip enables communication with the central processing unit, an automatically controlling means in communication with at least a switch terminal means, a database means responsive for a data dictionary, the data dictionary defining unique and prescribed human voice auditory messages, a human voice auditory sound chip, a processing means in communication with the database system.

4. Claims 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2632

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Kawasaki (691) discloses a voice warning device that will alert the driver of various conditions within the vehicle via voice warning producing means 4. Durley et al. (269) discloses a vehicle safety sensor for a school bus, in which a voice warning will be output from speakers located around the bus such that the sound will be broadcast to nearby persons located on the outside of the bus (col. 39, lines 16-67; col. 40, lines 1-58). However, neither Kawasaki nor Durley et al. disclose in their entirety the claimed step of activating a switch terminal, providing current pulses from a switch terminal to a receiving means for transmitting "a" signal to at least a device for initiating responses responsive for outputting voice auditory communication signal; transforming the current pulses into control energy responsible for enabling communication signal, and dividing the energy into a predetermined controlled energy and comparing the energy with preselected data representation of the energy source for generating communication signal.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki (691) in view of Durley et al. (269).

Art Unit: 2632

In reference to claims 10-14, Kawasaki discloses a) the claimed human voice auditory sound chip, which is met by voice warning reproducing means 4 (col. 3, lines 31-49), b) the claimed means for enabling at least a discernible message, which is met by the audio reproducing means 6 (col. 3, lines 31-49; col. 4, lines 31-64), c) the claimed speaker means for broadcasting the discernable message, which is met by speaker 2108 for voice warning and broadcast reproduction (col. 12, lines 13-26), and d) the claimed central processing unit for coordinating and processing signal communication, which is met by controller 2 determining which item is to be warned in response to the input signal from each sensor 1 (col. 3, lines 31-49). Although Kawasaki does not specifically disclose the claimed school bus vehicle for outputting the broadcasting message or plurality industrial vehicles for outputting the broadcasting message, he does disclose a plurality of sensors 1, within a vehicle, such as for detecting a vehicle door ajar, parking brake position. position of the ignition switch, the fuel level, etc. connected to a controller 2 that will produce a voice warning upon any of the detected sensors (col. 3, lines 16-68; col. 4, lines 1-53). Durley et al. discloses a vehicular safety sensor and warning system for a "conventional" school bus (a bus including a stop sign) in which the school bus includes a plurality of sensors mounted around the bus to detect nearby persons (pedestrians) and will broadcast an audible voice warning to alert those persons that they are near the bus and need to back away from the bus (col. 39, lines 16-67; col. 40, lines 1-58). Since Kawasaki discloses the use of a voice audible warning system operated upon sensed vehicle conditions, both electrical and mechanical, in use for one vehicle, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of using the system for a school bus, as disclosed by Durley, as well with

Art Unit: 2632

any number of vehicles (plurality) to ensure that all types of vehicles will have the ability of warning the driver and/or pedestrians of any problem associated with the vehicle that may cause a hazardous situation.

- 8. With respect to independent claim 10, a preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davetta W. Goins whose telephone number is 703-306-2761. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri with every other Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on 703-308-6730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVETTA W. GOINS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

D.W.G.

July 13, 2004

Davetta W. Goins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2632